

Troy, MI 48007-5052

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,861	03/30/2004	Thomas Hubert Van Steenkiste	DP-308959	3460	
7590 07/22/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
SCOTT A. MCBAIN			BAREFORD, KATHERINE A		
DELPHI TECH	INOLOGIES, INC.				
Legal Staff, Mail Code: 480-410-202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 5052			1762		

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/812,861	VAN STEENKISTE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Katherine A. Bareford	1762		

Advisory Action	10/812,861	VAN STEENKISTE ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Katherine A. Bareford	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	9SS			
THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) Z</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) a on, even if timely filed, may	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) v reduce any			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS	had and a death and a second	£ . 20 - 41 - 4 - 11				
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						
appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	, -	jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cathe non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-18 and 20-22</u> .	•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attact	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant has provided arguments that are essentially a restatement of the arguments provided with the amendment of March 14, 2005. The Examiner maintains the Final Rejection for the reasons given the Final Rejection, noting especially paragraph 15, pages 17-23, where a full discussion of the arguments of applicant is provided. As to the amendment to place the features of claim 10 into independent claim 1 and claim 19 into independent claim 12, the Examiner notes these amendments, however, they do notovercome the rejections, as the rejections remain for the reasons given as to claims 10 and 19. As discussed, it would have been obvous to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the traverse speed when performing the process of the combination of references, since one would perform routine experimentation to optimize the traverse speed for the specific materials and purposes used, as would not blindly or randomly perform activity, but would tailor it for the surfaces and materials to be used..

KATHERINE BAREFORD
PRIMARY EXAMENED